

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the above-identified patent application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, and 9-25 are in this application.


The Examiner previously noted that claim 8 was allowable if rewritten in dependent form including the limitations of the base claim and all intervening claims. In order to expedite the patent grant process, all the independent claims had been previously amended to incorporate the allowable subject-matter pointed out by the Examiner.

The Examiner did raise a new rejection of the claims, under 35 U.S.C. 112, where no antecedent basis was found for the term "said user" in claims 1, 20, 24 and 25.

By this amendment, Applicant has amended claims 1, 20, 24 and 25 to eliminate this alleged ambiguity, changing "said user" to "a user". The withdrawal of the rejection of the claims is deemed to be in order, and their allowance is respectfully requested.

All the issues raised by the Examiner have been dealt with, Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this application.

Respectfully submitted,



Martin D. Moynihan  
Registration No. 40,338

Date: March 19, 2006